

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of) **MAIL STOP**
)
Christophe Martin, et al.) Group Art Unit: 2164
)
Application No.: 10/583,476) Examiner: Daniel A. Kuddus
)
Filed: June 19, 2006) Confirmation No.: 3680
)
For: METHOD FOR BACKING UP)
 PERSONAL DATA OF A)
 TELECOMMUNICATIONS)
 NETWORK SUBSCRIBER, SERVER)
 AND ASSOCIATED DEVICE)

RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Applicant expresses appreciation to Examiner Kuddus and Examiner Padmanabhan for the courtesies extended to the undersigned during the personal interview of June 8, 2010, wherein the Examiner and the undersigned discussed the differences between the current claims and the cited prior art.

The Interview Summary mailed June 15, 2010, states that an agreement with respect to the claims was not reached, however, the Examiner further states in the continuation sheet that "Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claim in order to further clarify claim subject matter...Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claims in order to overcome the 35 USC 101 rejection and the claim objections." The undersigned believes that either a typographical error or an administrative oversight was made regarding the Examiner's additional comments. The undersigned submits that although distinctions between the invention of the present application and the cited prior art were discussed, the Applicants' representative, at no point, agreed to submit claim amendments to overcome any rejection.

In the event that there are any questions concerning this Response, or the application in general, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned so that prosecution of the application may be expedited.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: June 21, 2010

By: /Jennifer A. Wilson/

Jennifer A. Wilson

Registration No. 62604

Customer No. 21839

703 836 6620